



JUDICIARY OF  
ENGLAND AND WALES

**R**

**-v-**

**Christopher Dunn**

**Manchester Crown Court**  
**Sentencing Remarks of Mr Justice Bryan**  
**17 July 2019**

1. Christopher Dunn, you stand to be sentenced on counts of misconduct in a public office and making indecent photographs of children to which you have pleaded guilty. You were for most of your lengthy period of offending a serving police constable with Greater Manchester Police, for much of that time with involvement with police cadets, prior to your dismissal for your misconduct, and remand in custody for the offences in respect of which I must now sentence you.
2. Reporting restrictions are in place to protect the identity of both your child, and adult victims which included numerous identified child police cadets and serving Greater Manchester police officers and their children in relation to your misconduct in public office, as well as thousands of unknown children in relation to indecent images downloaded by you.
3. These sentencing remarks are accordingly necessarily circumscribed to ensure their protection. They are also circumscribed in certain other respects as it is not in the public interest to set out in detail the true depravity of your offending or to identify the websites you frequented to further your perverted ends. The full detailing of your offending was provided to me in a written prosecution note that I have had full regard to, as well as the numerous witness statements and personal victim impact statements all of which are on the digital case system and to which I have had full regard. The impact of your offending, and your associated breach of trust, on the lives of numerous adults and children, and the damage to the reputation of the Greater Manchester Police that has been caused is all too apparent.
4. On the first indictment you stand to be sentenced on 3 counts of making indecent photographs of children under 18 contrary to section 1(1)(a) of the

Protection of Children Act 1978. Count 1 relates to 1398 images of Category A (148 moving images, 1250 still images), Count 2 relates to 1171 images of Category B (65 moving images, 1106 still images) and Count 3 relates to 18,764 images of Category C being 49 moving images and 18,715 still images. There remain outstanding a further 100,506 ungraded images it not being in the public interest to deploy further resources to grade them the nature of your offending being all too apparent. On the second indictment Counts 1 to 5 are counts of possessing indecent photographs of a child for show including children involved in the misconduct in public office counts. Counts 1 and 2 in respect of Category C indecent images, Count 3 in respect of 2 Category A images, Count 4 in respect of 7 Category B images and Count 5 in respect of 5 Category C images.

5. Count 8 that of misconduct in a public office, to which you have also pleaded guilty, is that between 1 January 2014 and 4 February 2019, whilst acting as a police officer and police cadet leader you wilfully misconducted yourself by uploading candid pictures of police cadets and had graphic sexualised conversations regarding them with others, and encouraged others to show their appreciation for the images in a sexualised manner, which amounted to an abuse of the public's trust in you as a police officer and police cadet leader.
6. Count 9, a further count of misconduct in public office, to which you have also pleaded guilty, is that between 1 January 2014 and 4 February 2019 whilst acting as a police officer and police cadet leader you wilfully misconducted yourself by obtaining photographs of colleagues and uploading them to sexualised websites, having graphic sexualised conversations regarding them with others and encouraging others to show their appreciation of the pictures in a sexualised manner, which amounted to an abuse of the public's trust in you as a police officer and police cadet leader.
7. In short your downloaded thousands of indecent images, including the most graphic and serious, of children from the internet, collected a vast number of images from the internet (including from Facebook) of 31 women aged between 12 and 44 years old many of whom were Police Officers in Greater Manchester Police, Police Cadets, friends and their children, and then shared some of those collected images upon paedophilic websites, sometimes using collected images that had been manipulated to make them more sexually graphic, over a lengthy period of time.

8. The sheer number of indecent images, and their grading speaks for itself, and is addressed below, in sentencing terms by reference to the Sexual Offence Guidelines for such offending. It is neither necessary or appropriate to set out in detail the subject matter of such videos and images. Suffice it to say that they encompass the most graphic and serious of offending including, for example, a naked young female (aged 8-12) engaged in sex with an adult male, children engaged in sexual activity with animals and other sexual activity both alone and involving adult males.
9. Your desktop computer, under a password protected profile, included evidence of internet searching for indecent images of children and evidence of downloading of indecent images suggestive of the most grave sexual offences against children, as well as 115 highly organised folders including, “sorting school girls”, “cadets”, “cops” and the individual names of particular victims (cadets, police officers and their children) whose photographs you had harvested from social networking sites without their knowledge or consent.
10. It is necessary to say something more about the offences of misconduct in public office, and the associated sexual offences, to understand the gross breach of trust perpetrated by you and the harm caused to your victims.
11. What you did was obtain vast numbers of photographs of child police cadets, police officers and their children harvested from social networking sites (no doubt through access as a “friend”), and you not only stored them on your computer for your own gratification, but you also uploaded a number of such photographs to paedophile websites. In particular you uploaded a number of images of such police cadets and police officers’ children (and indeed police officers where they might be considered to appear under age) to a paedophile website (that I shall refer to as “Site A”) dedicated to photos of underage females (leading to associated graphic sexualised chat and comment), and another paedophile website (that I shall refer to as “Site B”) that encouraged its users to re-post pictures that had been posted by the likes of you, but now showing evidence of their “appreciation” of, or “tribute to” the picture. The form of that appreciation or tribute sexualised the photograph if it was not already sexual in nature (as most of your photos were not, being photographs posted by your innocent victims on social networking sites).
12. However matters do not stop there. Either you or in some cases someone with whom you had shared such photos, manipulated some of those photos (which you then posted/re-posted on paedophile websites), whereby the face

of your child or adult victim was superimposed upon a pornographic picture of another child or adult (for example so that the child's face appeared on that of a naked child having sex or an adult police officer's face appeared on a photo of a semi-naked woman performing a sex act).

13. It takes 58 paragraphs of the prosecution sentencing note to recount details of each of your victims, their age, the number of photographs you harvested and what you did with such photographs. Three of your victims have never been traced. I do not find it necessary to recount the full details of your offending against each of your victims as I would not wish to cause them further unnecessary distress. They can rest assured that I have taken into account your offending against them and the impact of your offending upon them, as reflected in the numerous victim personal statements that I have read and borne well in mind.
14. However I consider it important, that no one is left under any misapprehension as to the seriousness of your offending in the context of your misconduct in public office, and that the nature and chronology of your offending is properly understood when considering the sentences I am going to pass. Accordingly I summarise below your background and then history of offending giving examples along the way.
15. You were born on 22<sup>nd</sup> May 1986, making you 28 years old when you started your offending and 33 years old now. You have no previous convictions, cautions, reprimands or warnings recorded against you. I bear this well in mind, though this is not uncommon in the context of the nature of your offending, nor in the context of offences of misconduct in a public office. In such cases the fall from grace is all the greater. You yourself recognise that you were leading a "double-life" that carried on for a number of years before you were caught.
16. You graduated in 2007 from university with a degree in computing. In October 2007, you gained employment as Security Officer/Control Room Operator at the Trafford Centre. Whilst not in of itself a criminal offence, it appears from evidence later found on your computer that you began collecting second generation images (those uploaded by others) of a woman, aged between 29 and 40 years, through social networking sites such as Facebook. In total you collected 998 images of that woman (who you knew through your work at the Trafford Centre).

17. In February you became a Special Constable with GMP, and performed well in that role, without any suspicion being aroused, and indeed in 2010 you were nominated for a Special Constabulary Annual Gala Award. However, you continued collecting over 1800 images, now of three other women, aged between 21 and 31 years, who you knew through your work at the Trafford Centre.
18. In May 2012, you made an unsuccessful application to join GMP as a full-time Police Officer, but you continued to have contact with serving female Police Officers. In August 2013 you started creating images of a 39 year old police officer, collecting some 223 still images of her and uploaded one image of her with sexualised chat to Site B. From October 2013, you began downloading indecent images of children from the internet (which is the subject matter of Counts 1 to 3 on the first indictment).
19. From December 2013 you began collecting second generation images of female children starting with 304 images of a 17 year old girl you knew from the Trafford Centre.
20. In January 2014 you joined the Greater Manchester police full time. The very same month you started collecting images of another female (ultimately 603 photos in total) and uploaded one image of her with sexualised chat to Site B, and one to Site A. In July 2014 you started collecting photos of a 23 year old female serving police officer (eventually some 759 images in total).
21. In September 2014 you became a fully-trained Police Constable on response with GMP. However, in April 2015, you started collecting photos of another 32 year old female serving police officer (eventually some 180 images in total).
22. On 3 May 2015 you commenced collecting images of a 23 year old female serving police officer - eventually some 1123 images as well as a pseudo-image of her (an innocent image of her that had been digitally manipulated to make her look as if she was semi-naked and had been involved in sexual activity). You uploaded one image of her to a messaging site and saved 14 responsive images from Site B to your computer. This was closely followed in June 2015 by commencing collecting images of another 23 year old female serving police officer (eventually 443 in total) and uploaded one image of her to Site B.

23. From November 2015 you started collecting images of police cadets, the first aged 16 at the outset (151 images) with one uploaded with sexualised chat to Site A, another aged 14 at the outset (292 still images) with 8 images uploaded with sexualised chat to messenger site as well as images uploaded to Site A, and yet another, aged 12 at the outset (300 images) with 14 uploaded with sexualised chat to Site A. By your own admission in interview, many of the Police Cadets came from troubled homes and were therefore vulnerable.
24. As identified in the victim personal statement of Police Inspector Natasha Evans. The purpose of the Volunteer Police Cadet Scheme is not to recruit police officers of the future but to promote a practical understanding of policing amongst young people, encourage a spirit of adventure and good citizenship, and to set up and build trust between young people and the police and to change perceptions of young people with the police. Your offending has resulted in a breach of that trust and has caused real damage to the Scheme and those associated with it.
25. In April 2016 you were allocated to GMP's Neighbourhood Police Team, and in May 2016, you began assisting as a volunteer at a Police Cadet Group in South Manchester. Your duties including assisting in sports classes and on residential camps, bringing you closer to the female cadets.
26. In July 2016 you started collecting images of another female police cadet aged 15 at the outset (217 images) including 1 image uploaded with sexualised chat to Site A.
27. In August 2016 you collected images of another female police cadet aged 13 at the outset (100 images) and uploaded an image of her to the internet. On 22 August you started collecting images of another female police cadet aged 14 at the outset (175 images) including 1 image uploaded with sexualised chat to Site A.
28. In October 2016, such was the complete absence of suspicion surrounding you that you received a GMP Divisional Commander's Commendation for good service. Later in October 2016, you created your earliest images of another police cadet aged 16 (228 images) including 11 images with sexualised chat to a messenger site, 1 image with sexualised chat to Site A and 14 images with sexualised chat to Site B. The very same day you created images of a further cadet (aged 12 at the outset) (76 images) including one uploaded to Site A. In December 2016 you created your earliest images of

another cadet, then 17, eventually 266 images, one being uploaded to the internet.

29. In May 2017, you started a relationship with a female serving police officer then aged 21 (some 10 years' your junior) – which brought you into contact with yet more female serving police officers who became your victims.
30. In August 2017, with GMP still unaware of your offending, you received a GMP Divisional Commander's Good Work Minute in recognition of your commitment and dedication in the role of Police Cadet Leader. Thereafter, in September 2017, you were allocated as a Subject Matter Expert to the Integrated Operation Policing System Team (tasked with setting up the new GMP force-wide computer system).
31. You continued creating images in January 2018 (an 18 year old police cadet), and in January and February you also collected images of adult females (not in the police service), as well as of two females who have never been identified.
32. On 21 March 2018, you were made a Police Cadet Leader at the South Manchester group. Within days you were creating images of a 20 year old police cadet (eventually 31 images) closely followed with images of another female (94 images). Soon thereafter you created your earliest images of a 14 year old female police cadet (eventually 151 images) including one uploaded to Site A, 12 with sexualised chat to Site B, and you had saved 4 "responsive" images to your computer.
33. In the ensuing days and weeks you created images of a 21 year old serving female police officer (57 images, 15 uploaded with sexualised chat to Site B), of a 22 year old female police cadet (37 images), of a 24 year old female police officer (198 images 10 uploaded to Site B), of a 14 year old female police cadet (143 images including some uploaded with sexualised chat to Site B) and of a 13 year old female police cadet (109 images including 1 uploaded to Site A).
34. In May 2018 you created your first images of the 12 year old daughter of a fellow serving female police officer. Your offending in relation to this young girl features in Counts 3, 4 and 5 of the second indictment. The evidence later found on your computing devices showed that you had collected 266 still images of her as well as 17 pseudo-images uploaded after alteration by

another on-line user on 10 December 2018. These include her face being superimposed on that of another child featuring in 3 Category A images (Count 3) including sexual intercourse, 5 Category B images (Count 4) including sexual contact with an adult male, and 9 Category C images (Count 5) in which (amongst other matters) her clothed body has “slut” written on her face and “little slut” written on her T-shirt. 6 of the pseudo photos were uploaded to Site B with sexualised chat including “mother and daughter” references to Site B and another pseudoimage to Site A, and you saved 83 “response” pictures from Site B. You also claimed on-line to other users that you had yourself masturbated over one of those pseudo-images.

35. You were also to create images of her mother, a serving police officer including 81 images, including one pseudo image of her face imposed on a semi-naked woman featuring sexual content, which was uploaded to Site B and received 52 “response” pictures which you saved.

36. Meanwhile you created images of a 32 year old female police officer (eventually 52 images), a 19 year old female police cadet (including 7 uploaded with sexualised chat to a messenger service and one to Site B), and two further female police officers aged 22 and 23 respectively (108 and 118 images).

37. In July 2018 your offending continued with the creation of images of a 14 year old female police cadet (24 images, 7 images uploaded with sexualised chat to a messenger service and one to Site B) and of a 17 year old police cadet (342 images, 12 uploaded with sexualised chat to a messenger service and 13 to Site B).

38. Your offending continued in August 2018 with you creating images of a 15 year old female police cadet (198 images, 1 image uploaded with sexualised chat to a messenger service and 41 “responsive” images saved to your computer), and of a 13 year old police cadet (50 images one uploaded to the internet).

39. In September 2018 you created images of a 14 year old police cadet (190 images 1 uploaded to Site A) as well as of an unidentified female (144 images including a pseudo-image which had been uploaded to the internet).



40. It was in October 2018 that you uploaded a Category C image to a messenger service that led to police investigations and you being traced and arrested on 3 February.
41. However, in the meantime, you continued to create and upload images in November 2018 (of 19 year old serving female police officer 66 images), in December 2018, at a time when you assisted in the setting up of a new unit for police cadets in South Manchester, with the creation of images of a 15 year old female cadet (117 images, 3 uploaded with sexualised chat to a messenger service and 1 “responsive” image saved to your computer), as well as of another unidentified woman.
42. Your offending continued in January 2019 when you created images of an 18 year old female police cadet (15 images including 12 uploaded with sexualised chat to site B), and on 20 January 2019 you had in your possession two Category C images of children (the subject of counts 1 and 2 on the second indictment) each of naked female children aged 10-13. The same date you uploaded 4 “response” images of yourself in relation to 2 images of a clothed female child aged 8-11 years.
43. Your offending only came to an end when police attended your home on 3 February 2019 and arrested you. It is clear that but for your arrest, your offending would have continued. At the time of your arrest various devices were recovered that contained Category A, B and C images, images of police officers, images of police staff, images of police cadets and covert still images (known as “candid” images) of police cadets and the public including children.
44. Following your arrest you stated *“I’m not like one of those paedophiles who touch children. They make me sick”*. You then stated, *“My life is effectively over. It’s over; there is no way back from all this”*. You then stated, *“I want to talk; to show that I am not one of them”*.
45. You made admissions in interview, and pleaded guilty to the offences with which you are now charged at the PTPH. Whilst that would normally attract 25% credit for a guilty plea I am satisfied that you made admissions in interviews as well as providing information in interview which allowed your victims to be identified and that the charge before the magistrates’ court was only a holding charge with no suitable case summary. In such circumstances I am satisfied that the PTPH was in fact the first opportunity for you to admit

the entirety of your offending, due to complexity of the charges you faced. I will give you full credit.

46. In relation to counts 1 to 3 on the first indictment (the Category A, B and C images) and counts 1 to 5 of the second indictment (sexual images associated with Counts 8 and 9 the misconduct in a public office) the Sexual Offences Guidelines apply and assist in the sentencing exercise.

47. It is rightly accepted and conceded on your behalf that this is Category A Distribution offending (as distribution includes possession with a view to distributing or sharing images). In this regard the streamlined forensic report of the witness H. Ghatora confirms that there is evidence of access to websites where there is a large volume of viewing and registered members and that report confirms that you were involved in a network/forum where sharing of images could occur.

48. The starting point for Category A Distribution is 3 years' imprisonment with a range of 2 to 5 years' imprisonment. It is submitted on your behalf that there is no evidence of actual distribution of the images the subject matter of these counts and as such it is urged that a starting below 2 years should be adopted. Whilst I bear this distinction well in mind I consider that your behaviour and course of conduct is indicative of the possession and making of images for distribution, and with regard to the counts the subject of the second indictment there are pseudo-images created for distribution to members of the website, and which are clearly distributed once posted as they generate "responsive" or "tribute" pornographic images from various web-users which are then retained by you. Pseudo-images are a particularly vile form of indecent images which cause considerable harm and distress to their victims who can be identified and suffer the humiliation of such publication and knowledge that they are in the public domain. The pseudo-images could in fact be regarded as production although not charged as such.

49. As your counsel candidly recognises there are a very large number of aggravating factors-

(1) There are a very large number of Category A images (including moving images). I am also sentencing you for a very large number of Category B and C images (including moving images) and on any view this amounts to a high volume of images, and also a collection involving a high volume of moving images (with 100,506 outstanding ungraded files).

- (2) Whilst the age of particular children cannot be discerned the age range is considered to be from 4 to 16 with many (moving and still) images of younger children in the range of 8 to 12 years involving sexual intercourse and sexual activity with animals.
- (3) The fact that some of the images are pseudo-images that were produced, and the fact that some of the pseudo images depict the 12 year old daughter of a fellow police officer in Category A images involving sexual intercourse and highly abusive associated images (in terms of the language adopted). These are further aggravating factors as your counsel rightly acknowledges.
- (4) There is evidence from examination of your computer of the downloading of indecent images including the use of search terms suggestive of the rape and killing of a 9-12 year old female and incestual acts between pre-teen children.
- (5) There are a large number of different victims and the victims are likely to be vulnerable.
- (6) Your offending took place over an extended time period.

50. Having regard to the totality of your offending under these counts, and Category A Distribution, your counsel realistically accepts that “a starting point after trial closer to the top of the range of 5 years would not be excessive”. I consider that a starting point before mitigation of 4 years 6 months would be appropriate for such offending.

51. However I also have to sentence you for the offences of misconduct in a public office in relation to which your counsel concedes consecutive sentences would be appropriate. There are two preliminary points which I bear well in mind. The first is that an aspect of that offending is the posting of pseudo images that forms part of the sentence on the other counts and I will ensure that there is no double-counting. However the gravamen of the offending is not the particular images created but the whole course of conduct represented by your abuse of position and misconduct as a serving police officer and cadet leader. The second is that of totality when coming to consider the overall sentence to be passed, and I will address that below.

52. Your counsel seeks to downplay the seriousness of your misconduct in public office seeking to draw a distinction with offences which are said to undermine the fabric of the police service and undermine public confidence and breach of trust reposed in officers, including accessing restricted information without legitimate reason, unlawful supply of information to third parties, identification of informants to known criminals and identification of police tactics. These do indeed all undermine the fabric of the police service and undermine public confidence and the trust reposed in officers.
53. However the same is true of your offending. You were in a position of trust and responsibility in relation to young, and often vulnerable, police cadets. You betrayed that trust in harvesting pictures of them for your own personal gratification, and posting them on paedophile websites for the sexual gratification of others who shared your unhealthy interest in young females. Those young cadets will have to live with what you have done for the rest of their lives – knowing that pictures of them, including in some cases “respect” or “trophy” pictures showing them are on the internet.
54. You were placed in a position of trust and responsibility to work with police cadets – you have violated that trust and your actions have undermined the fabric of the police service and undermined public confidence in Greater Manchester Police. Amongst the statements before me is one from Superintendent Hemingway the Commander of the Trafford District of the GMP. She speaks of the significant effect on members of the public especially those young people who have been involved in cadet schemes, the effect on the GMP’s credibility with partners such as child social care and local schools and a lack of trust when it comes to GMP being able to effectively manage the safeguarding of children. She also speaks of the impact upon the many committed and hard-working officers that are as disappointed as the public and see all their hardwork and commitment being undermined by your offending.
55. At the same time you have caused considerable harm and distress to your fellow police officers and their families. This is another serious aspect to your misconduct in public office, harvesting their photographs as a result of contact made through your position as a police officer, and publishing them on pornographic websites for the sexual gratification of others. Your behaviour has caused long lasting damage to your fellow officers and their families as is testified to in their victim personal statements. It is almost beyond belief that someone could have distributed pseudo-images of their

colleagues and their children on pornographic websites but that is exactly what you have done. It is quite clear that your motive was sexual, and whatever your denials that you have an unhealthy interest in young females.

56. I have read each and every one of the victim personal statements. They make painful reading. You have changed people's lives for ever. Your victims will never feel the same way about their colleagues, or about their use of social media as part of their private lives. They will always have to live with what you have done and the impact upon them will be long lasting. They feel humiliated and violated and do not know what further distribution there may have been of their images and to what use they have been put. They may never know. There is also the terrible decision of whether parents should tell their children about what has happened as they grow older. One of your fellow officer speaks of it having knocked her sideways and now seeing a counsellor and consulting her GP for severe anxiety, and it having made her distance herself from close friends and questioning her trust in people. Others have withdrawn from social media, and socialising with fellow officers.

57. Your offending shares some similarities with misconduct in public office where an officer uses his position as a police officer for consensual sexual activity where substantial custodial sentences are often imposed and upheld – however there is nothing consensual about your activities and whilst your offending does not involve sexual contact offences, your victims have suffered considerable and long lasting damage as a result of your offending which was non-consensual and wholly concealed from your victims. It has also resulted in substantial damage to the Volunteer Police Cadet Scheme and the trust in the Greater Manchester Police service.

58. It is an insult to your victims to suggest that the offences of misconduct in a public office are adequately reflected in a short consecutive custodial sentence. I consider that at trial nothing less than a starting point of an immediate custodial sentence of 3 years imprisonment before mitigation would have been appropriate.

59. Before considering the overall sentences to be passed I turn to the mitigation offered on your behalf.

60. You are now 33 years of age and I have already noted that you were hitherto a man of previous good character which is a mitigating factor. I also have no

doubt that as part of your double-life prior to discovery of your offending you did good work in the police service.

61. I have had regard to your apology letter to your victims and your apology letter to the Court. I am satisfied that the sentiment expressed within those letters are genuine. I have also had regard to the character reference from Mr and Mrs Dunn, and from your sister Elizabeth Dunn. I have also read the letter from the Safer Lives Project and the report provided by its co-director Andrew Green who has worked with you, the Safer Lives Project being a private company who work with convicted sex offenders in respect of offence-focused work.
62. I also have no doubt that you are remorseful for your offending, but as is common with sex offenders I do not consider that you have yet come to terms with the full extent of your offending or fully accept your culpability as is demonstrated by a lack of understanding of the harm caused, as recognised in the helpful pre-sentence report to which I have had regard.
63. You describe your early to mid-teenage years as difficult and of being of low self-esteem, and that you failed to enter into any meaningful relationships with females of your own age, and were socially awkward and ostracised from your peer group. It appears you turned to pornography and at some point child pornography as your perverted obsession grew. Ultimately, having accessed illegal images, you became involved in a group where like-minded individuals fuelled each other's further offending through social forums.
64. I have no doubt that at some point you developed an unhealthy attraction to young females as reflected in your offending. When you finally managed to enter into a relationship with a fellow police officer it appears that there was something of an emotional mis-match with intimacy initially being problematic. It is a reflection of your obsession that even then you betrayed her trust and did not desist from your conduct.
65. I have borne well in mind that you have been on remand from the outset, that you have and will face extreme pressures in prison which have impacted upon your mental health, and that life will not be easy for someone in your position in prison. I take all of this into account when setting the appropriate sentence. That said, any police officer would know that this is the regime he would endure if he let down his colleagues and the police generally by committing criminal offences, and sight must not be lost of that.

66. In my opinion, your offending is so serious that neither a fine alone nor a community sentence can be justified for the matters for which I am sentencing you. I am accordingly going to pass sentences of imprisonment which will be the shortest which in my opinion match the seriousness of your offending and take into account the mitigating factors in your case and the period you will spend on licence following your release.
67. In the light of the mitigation available to you, and having careful regard to totality, I consider that I can reduce the appropriate sentence on counts 1 to 3 on the first indictment and counts 1 to 5 on the second indictment to 4 years' imprisonment on each count and concurrent to each other, and can reduce the appropriate sentence on counts 8 and 9 (misconduct in a public office) to 2 years 6 months on each count concurrent to each other but consecutive to the aforesaid counts, in each case before credit for your guilty pleas.
68. I will then give you full (33%) credit for your guilty pleas with the result that the sentence I pass on counts 1 to 3 on the first indictment and counts 1 to 5 on the second indictment is 2 years 8 months on each count and concurrent to each other, and on counts 8 and 9 1 year 8 months' imprisonment on each count concurrent to each other but consecutive to the aforesaid counts so that your total sentence is one of 4 years 4 months' imprisonment.
69. Unless you are released earlier under supervision you will serve one half of this sentence in custody. I direct that the 162 days for which you have been remanded in custody are to count towards your sentence. You will then be released on licence for the remainder of your sentence. Whilst you are on licence, you must comply with all its conditions. At any time during your licence, the Secretary of State may withdraw it and order your return to custody.
70. You will be required to register with the police, the duration being for life given the sentences I have passed. You will be required to sign on the Register of Sex Offenders indefinitely. You will also be barred by the Disclosure and Barring Service from working with vulnerable groups both children and adults indefinitely. I also make a Sexual Harm Prevention Order in the terms proposed. I order the forfeiture and destruction of the exhibits associated with your offending under section 143 of the Powers of Criminal Courts (Sentencing) Act 2000. The victim surcharge must be paid. I make no order as to prosecution costs.